

Quality Q2/L2 Evaluation Criteria for Plant Enquiry No: Miscellaneous Metals and Hardware (E3513GXNOU)

Mandatory Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Yes	No	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is certified to ISO 9001:2015, or equivalent. If supplier QMS is not certified, no further evaluation will be performed.	Copies of Management System Certification.				

Requirement			Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
REQUIREMENTS	Quality	QMS Manual	Demonstrate that the supplier has a Quality Management System in place that details the suppliers's processes and procedures.	A Quality Manual or a document that describes the supplier's entire Quality Management System and its processes, from the receipt of customer requirements until the product and/or the service is delivered.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 0% - No documents submitted.	95%		0%	0.0%		
			Submission of Singed 238-769T Form.	The returnable is a signed Supplier Quality Management System Requirements Form (238-769T), indicating the supplier's commitment to meet the requirements stipulated.	100% - Submission of a signed form: 238-769T Rev 2. 0% - No document submitted.	5%		0%	0.0%		
			TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
		QCP	Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan : A supplier document specifying the work or production activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (238-102 Revision 3, Section 3.2.3).	Returnable is an example of a QCP,ITP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service or product, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3 0% - No documents submitted.	100%		0%	0.0%		
			TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
		QMS Management Responsibility and Monitoring	Demonstrate that measures exist to control externally provided processes, products and service as well as that adequate oversight measures have been implemented.	The returnable is the maintained documented information demonstrating criteria implementation, e.g. process and criteria for the evaluation, selection, monitoring of performance, and re-evaluation of external providers as well as verification of purchased products and services.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 0% - No documents submitted.	40%		0%	0.0%		
			Demonstrate implementation of reviews to measure process effectiveness and opportunities for improvement with respect to quality management.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Internal audit or self assessment report.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 0% - No documents submitted.	30%		0%	0.0%		
			Demonstrate implementation of non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition with provisions for customer notification and acceptance.	The returnable is the retained (record) documented information demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report or a Non-Conformance Procudure/Process.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance to the requirements of 238-102 Rev 3. 0% - No documents submitted.	30%		0%	0.0%		
			TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			

		Technical	 Conformance to DSG-310-048, DSG-310-052, DSG-310-139, DSG-310-033.	1. Returnable is an example of a CMTR (Certified Material Test Report according to ASME Section III NCA3862) which states material chemical composition and mechanical properties and any other applicable testing as required. or 2. An example of a Type 3.1 material certificate with chemical and mechanical results including any additional test requirements of ASME Section III NCA3862.	100% - Submitted an example of a CMTR or Type 3.1 material certificate with chemical and mechanical results which meet all requirements of ASME Section III NCA3862. 0% - Did not submit an example of a CMTR or Type 3.1 material certificate that reports on all test requirements of ASME Section III NCA3862 as requested.	100%		0%	0.0%		
			TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
		Previous Experience	Previous Experience: Tenderers are required to provide evidence of satisfactory performance in the supply of similar product/project of comparable size, complexity, and nature.	A proof of at least 3 previous projects/transactions where similar products were supplied.	100% - Three previous projects. 75% - Two previous projects. 50% - One previous project. 0% - None Given.	100%		0%	0.0%		
			TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis											
QMS Manual						15%	0.0%				
QCP						5%	0.0%				
QMS Management Responsibility and Monitoring						15%	0.0%				
Technical						60%	0.0%				
Previous Experience						5%	0.0%				
TOTAL						100%	0.0%				

The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:
A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:
0 - 0% - Does not meet
1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
3 - 100% - Meet
The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:
0% - 69% - Does not meet
70% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by: Muzi Lushaba and Vuyo Dzingwe

Signature:





Date: 2026-09-07